

FACILITIES AID: 2000-01

(Abbott Districts with State Share of 100% after S200)

% DEBT SERVICE PAID BY STATE

% REGULAR EDUCATION AID

[Core Curriculum Standards Aid for T E Budget

	<u>BEFORE S20</u>	<u>AFTER S200</u>	<u>S200 Impact</u>	<u>State Support % of Reg. Educ</u>	
	(Project Costs)	('Eligible' Costs)		(Col.4)	DFG
	(Col.1)	(Col.2)	(Col.3)		
PLEASANTVILLE CITY	72.6%	100.0%	+ 27.4%	72.6%	A
GARFIELD CITY	40.0%	100.0%	+ 60.0%	40.0%	B
BURLINGTON CITY	43.5%	100.0%	+ 56.5%	43.5%	B
PEMBERTON TWP	65.5%	100.0%	+ 34.5%	65.5%	CD
CAMDEN CITY	86.2%	100.0%	+ 13.8%	86.2%	A
GLOUCESTER CITY	60.2%	100.0%	+ 39.8%	60.2%	B
BRIDGETON CITY	73.7%	100.0%	+ 26.3%	73.7%	A
MILLVILLE CITY	56.2%	100.0%	+ 43.8%	56.2%	B
VINELAND CITY	52.2%	100.0%	+ 47.8%	52.2%	B
EAST ORANGE	72.3%	100.0%	+ 27.7%	72.3%	A
IRVINGTON TOWNSHIP	61.9%	100.0%	+ 38.1%	61.9%	A
NEWARK CITY	73.1%	100.0%	+ 26.9%	73.1%	A
CITY OF ORANGE TWP	63.1%	100.0%	+ 36.9%	63.1%	A
HARRISON TOWN	40.4%	100.0%	+ 59.6%	40.4%	A
* HOBOKEN CITY	0.0%	100.0%	+ 100.0%	0.0%	B
JERSEY CITY	57.6%	100.0%	+ 42.4%	57.6%	A
UNION CITY	70.4%	100.0%	+ 29.6%	70.4%	A
WEST NEW YORK TOWN	62.9%	100.0%	+ 37.1%	62.9%	A
TRENTON CITY	66.7%	100.0%	+ 33.3%	66.7%	A
NEW BRUNSWICK CITY	53.0%	100.0%	+ 47.0%	53.0%	A
PERTH AMBOY CITY	66.1%	100.0%	+ 33.9%	66.1%	A
ASBURY PARK CITY	78.5%	100.0%	+ 21.5%	78.5%	A
KEANSBURG BORO	64.2%	100.0%	+ 35.8%	64.2%	A
LONG BRANCH CITY	36.5%	100.0%	+ 63.5%	36.5%	B
NEPTUNE TWP	27.9%	100.0%	+ 72.1%	27.9%	CD
PASSAIC CITY	71.0%	100.0%	+ 29.0%	71.0%	A
PATERSON CITY	72.3%	100.0%	+ 27.7%	72.3%	A
ELIZABETH CITY	63.5%	100.0%	+ 36.5%	63.5%	A
PLAINFIELD CITY	56.7%	100.0%	+ 43.3%	56.7%	B
PHILLIPSBURG TOWN	56.1%	100.0%	+ 43.9%	56.1%	B

There are 28 'DFG' A and B Abbott districts.

There are 2 'DFG' CD Abbott districts.

This report shows two basic types of state support that districts have been receiving from the state. Column 1 shows the % of debt service costs (project costs) funded by the state before the enactment of S200 [7-18-00]. Column 2 shows the % of new debt service costs ("el costs) funded by the state after S200 became law, and col. 3 shows difference between the col. 1 and col. 2. Column 4 shows the state support % of regular education costs (CCSA over TE budget) for those districts qualified by the CEIFA wealth formula to receive CCSA aid. (Vo. Tech., Jointure & Ed. Service Commissions, and non-operating districts are excluded.)

Under S 200: (1) districts are entitled to 40% of their eligible costs if their 'actual' CCSA state share, when multiplied by 115%, is less than 40%; (2) remaining districts receive 115% of their latest-calculated 99-00 state share, except (3) Abbott districts, which are entitled to receive 100% of their eligible costs. Construction for districts entitled to receive 55% or greater is supervised by the EDA.